

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1976 09/419,872 10/19/1999 AKIHISA KAWAGUCHI 1344.1033/JD **EXAMINER** 21171 7590 12/31/2003 SEDIGHIAN, REZA STAAS & HALSEY LLP **SUITE 700** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2633 DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/419,872	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. R. Sedighian	2633
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PÉRIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this country. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thin	JNICATION. Ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ommunication. Ity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimule in statutory period will apply and will expire SIX eply will, by statute, cause the application to be this after the mailing date of this communication,).	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any
	tion for allowance except for form actice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from consideration	n
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are allowed		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election requireme	nt.
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction f	ïled on is: a)☐ approved b	o) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this Office action	•
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None o	f:	
1 Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d.
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clair		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	v (PTO-948) 5) ☐ No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Page 2

Application/Serial Number: 09/419,872

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. This communication is responsive to applicant's 8/20/2003 amendments in the application of Kawaguchi et al. for "Method of controlling optical wavelength division multiplexing transmission apparatus" filed 10/19/1999. The amendments have been entered. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8 are now pending.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumi (US Patent No: 6,466,348).

Regarding claim 8, Izumi discloses a method of controlling an optical wavelength division multiplexing transmission apparatus (col. 11, lines 21-22, col. 12, lines 7-9 and figs. 2, 29) having an optical amplification section (127, fig. 2), comprising: setting initial information including a number of wavelengths being used and wavelength being used (col. 2, lines 6-8, col. 4, lines 15-18 and 123, fig. 2 and 611, fig. 29); setting an amount of optical attenuation (124, fig. 2 and 605, 606, fig. 29) corresponding to each of the wavelengths (note that optical attenuator 124 is tunable and optical attenuators 605 and 606 of fig. 29 each can be separately controlled to attenuate a respective wavelength); determining when a variation in the number of wavelengths being input occurs (col. 4, lines 15-18, col. 20, lines 58-66); and when a variation in the number of wavelengths being input occurs, individually controlling an amount of optical attenuation corresponding to each wavelength of the optical signal being input (note that each optical

Application/Serial Number: 09/419,872

Art Unit: 2633

attenuators 605, 606, 607 in fig. 8 can be controlled for respective wavelengths), so that the power level of the optical signal is approximately constant (col. 6, lines 7-10, col. 12, lines 35-38), and so that the optical power level per single wavelength of the multiplexed optical signal input into the optical amplification section is of a level which corresponds to the varied number of wavelengths (col. 4, lines 15-20). Izumi differs from the claimed invention in that Izumi does not disclose setting an amount of optical attenuation to a maximum value. Izumi teaches optical attenuators (605 to 608, fig. 29) that are controllable by a monitor and control unit (col. 21, lines 52-56 and 611, fig. 29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention that the optical monitor and control unit 611 of Izumi can control and set the amount of optical attenuation to a maximum value for each of the optical attenuators 605 to 608 in the transmission system of Izumi to provide a predetermined optical energy and a specific output power level.

- 4. Claims 1 and 3-6 are allowed over prior art of record.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

M.R. SEDIGHIAN
Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 2633